arXiv:gr-qc/0401047v2 22 Nov 2004

Gravitational collapse in braneworld models with curvature corrections

G. Kofinas[1,2*] and E. Papantonopoulos[1†]

[1] *Department of Physics, National Technical University of Athens, GR 157 73 Athens, Greece and*
[2] *Centro de Estudios Científicos, Casilla 1469, Valdivia, Chile*

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

We study the collapse of a homogeneous braneworld dust cloud in the context of the various curvature correction scenarios, namely, the induced-gravity, the Gauss-Bonnet, and the combined induced-gravity and Gauss-Bonnet. In accordance to the Randall-Sundrum model, and contrary to four-dimensional general relativity, we show in all cases that the exterior spacetime on the brane is non-static.

In this Letter, we discuss the Oppenheimer-Snyder-like collapse on a brane in the context of curvature correction terms. In the Randall-Sundrum scenario, this problem has been analyzed in [1], and found that, contrary to the general relativity case, the vacuum exterior of a spherical cloud is non-static. This is a result of modification of the effective Einstein equations on the brane with local and non-local terms representing high energy corrections to general relativity. The non-static nature of the exterior metric mainly arises because of the presence of bulk graviton stresses, which transmit effects non-locally from the interior to the exterior on the brane, and of the non-vanishing of the effective pressure at the boundary surface [2], which connects the interior with exterior metric via the four dimensional matching conditions.

We derive here the same result within the induced-gravity, the Gauss-Bonnet, and the combined Gauss-Bonnet and induced gravity braneworld models. In all these models, the effective Einstein equations on the brane are modified by local and non-local terms, which are much more complicated than the corresponding terms in the Randall-Sundrum case, but nevertheless, the non-staticity arises because of a mismatch of the interior with the exterior metric on the boundary collapsing surface.

We consider for convenience and without loss of generality the extra-dimensional coordinate y such that the brane is fixed at $y = 0$. The induced metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ on this hypersurface is defined by $h_{AB} = g_{AB} - n_A n_B$, with n^A the unit vector normal to the brane ($\mu, \nu = 0,1,2,3; A, B = 0,1,2,3,5$). The total action of the system is taken to be

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left\{\mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda_5 + \alpha\left[\mathcal{R}^2 - 4\,\mathcal{R}_{AB}\,\mathcal{R}^{AB} + \mathcal{R}_{ABCD}\,\mathcal{R}^{ABCD}\right]\right\} + \frac{r_c}{2\kappa_5^2}\int_{y=0} d^4x\sqrt{-h}\,(R - 2\Lambda_4) + \int_{y=0} d^4x\sqrt{-h}\,L_{mat}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{R}, R$ are the Ricci scalars of the metrics g_{AB} and h_{AB} respectively. The Gauss-Bonnet coupling α has dimensions $(length)^2$ and is defined as

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{8g_s^2}\,, \quad (2)$$

with g_s the string energy scale, while the induced-gravity crossover lenght scale r_c is

$$r_c = \frac{\kappa_5^2}{\kappa_4^2} = \frac{M_4^2}{M_5^3}\,. \quad (3)$$

Here, the fundamental (M_5) and the four-dimensional (M_4) Planck masses are given by

$$\kappa_5^2 = 8\pi G_5 = M_5^{-3}\,, \quad \kappa_4^2 = 8\pi G_4 = M_4^{-2}\,. \quad (4)$$

The brane tension is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{\Lambda_4}{\kappa_4^2}\,, \quad (5)$$

and is non-negative. (Note that Λ_4 is not the same as the cosmological constant on the brane.)

The collapse region has in comoving coordinates a Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + a(\tau)^2(1 + k\chi^2/4)^{-2}\,(d\chi^2 + \chi^2 d\Omega_2^2), \quad (6)$$

where the scale factor $a(\tau)$ is given by the modified Friedmann equation of the corresponding model, while the energy density is given by the usual dust law $\rho = \rho_0(a_0/a)^3$, with a_0 standing for the epoch when the cloud started to collapse. This Friedmann equation can also be written in terms of the proper radius from the center of the cloud $r(\tau) = a(\tau)\chi/(1+k\chi^2/4)$ of the collapsing boundary surface at $\chi = \chi_0$.

Concerning the exterior of the collapse region, the most general static spherically symmetric metric is written in standard coordinates as

$$ds^2 = -F(r)^2 A(r) dt^2 + A(r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2\,. \quad (7)$$

In order a metric of the form (7) to be the exterior of the interior metric (6), the metric and the extrinsic curvature have to be continuous across the collapsing boundary surface. Following the method appearing in [1], we first write

---

*kofinas@cecs.cl
†lpapa@central.ntua.gr

the standard radial geodesic motion of the freely falling boundary surface for the exterior metric

$$\dot{r}^2 = -A(r) + \frac{\tilde{E}}{F(r)^2}\,, \tag{8}$$

where the dot denotes derivative with respect to proper time τ, and $\tilde{E}$ is a constant. Secondly, transforming to null coordinates (v, r), where $dv = dt + dr/[F(r)A(r)]$, the exterior metric (7) takes the form

$$ds^2 = -F(r)^2 A(r) dv^2 + 2F(r) dv dr + r^2 d\Omega_2^2\,, \tag{9}$$

while the interior metric (6) becomes

$$ds^2 = -\frac{a^2 - (k + \dot{a}^2) r^2}{a^2 - kr^2}\tau_{,v}^2 dv^2 + \frac{2a\tau_{,v}}{\sqrt{a^2 - kr^2}} dv dr + r^2 d\Omega_2^2. \tag{10}$$

Comparing Eqs. (9), (10), we obtain

$$A = 1 + E - \dot{r}^2\,, \tag{11}$$

where $E = -k\chi_0^2/(1 + k\chi_0^2/4)^2$. Then, from Eqs. (8), (11) we obtain that $F(r)$ is a constant, and by choosing $\tilde{E} = 1 + E$, we take $F(r) = 1$. Finally, the candidate exterior metric (7) becomes

$$ds^2 = -A(r) dt^2 + A(r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\,, \tag{12}$$

where $A(r)$ is given by Eq. (11), with $\dot{r}^2$ provided by the Friedmann equation of the interior region.

A. Induced gravity

The scale factor $a(\tau)$ is given by the modified Friedmann equation [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] of induced gravity ($\alpha \to 0$) [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\Big)^2 &= \frac{\kappa_4^2}{3}(\rho + \lambda) + \frac{2}{r_c^2} - \frac{k}{a^2} \\ &\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\, r_c}\left[4\kappa_4^2(\rho + \lambda) - 2\Lambda_5 + \frac{12}{r_c^2} - \frac{12\mathcal{C}}{a^4}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is integration constant related to the mass of the bulk black hole. We can write Eq. (13) in terms of the proper radius $r(\tau)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r}^2 &= \Big(\frac{\kappa_4^2\lambda}{3} + \frac{2}{r_c^2}\Big) r^2 + \frac{\kappa_4^2 m}{3}\frac{1}{r} + E \\ &\pm \frac{1}{r_c}\left[\frac{2}{3}\Big(2\kappa_4^2\lambda - \Lambda_5 + \frac{6}{r_c^2}\Big) r^4 + \frac{4\kappa_4^2 m}{3} r - 4q\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $m = \rho_0 a_0^3 \chi_0^3/(1 + k\chi_0^2/4)^3$, $q = \mathcal{C}\chi_0^4/(1 + k\chi_0^2/4)^4$. Thus, from Eq. (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(r) &= 1 - \frac{\kappa_4^2 m}{3}\frac{1}{r} - \Big(\frac{\kappa_4^2\lambda}{3} + \frac{2}{r_c^2}\Big) r^2 \\ &\mp \frac{1}{r_c}\left[\frac{2}{3}\Big(2\kappa_4^2\lambda - \Lambda_5 + \frac{6}{r_c^2}\Big) r^4 + \frac{4\kappa_4^2 m}{3} r - 4q\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

For the Randall-Sundrum model, the generic four-dimensional effective equations were derived in [11]. For the induced gravity model, such effective braneworld equations were derived in [12] in the form

$$G^{\mu}_{\nu} = \kappa_4^2 T^{\mu}_{\nu} - \Big(\kappa_4^2\lambda + \frac{6}{r_c^2}\Big)\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \frac{2}{r_c}\Big(L^{\mu}_{\nu} + \frac{L}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\Big)\,, \tag{16}$$

where the quantities L^{μ}_{ν} are given by the algebraic equation

$$L^{\mu}_{\lambda} L^{\lambda}_{\nu} - \frac{L^2}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} = \mathcal{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} - \Big(\frac{3}{r_c^2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{T}^{\lambda}_{\lambda}\Big)\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\,, \tag{17}$$

($L \equiv L^{\mu}_{\mu}$) with

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} \;=\; \Big(\kappa_4^2\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_5\Big)\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - \kappa_4^2 T^{\mu}_{\nu} - \mathcal{E}^{\mu}_{\nu}\,. \tag{18}$$

T^{μ}_{ν} is the braneworld matter content, if any, while the electric part $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}_{\nu} = C^{\mu}{}_{A\nu B} n^A n^B$ of the 5-dimensional Weyl tensor $C^{A}{}_{BCD}$ carries the influence of non-local gravitational degrees of freedom in the bulk onto the brane, making the brane equations (16) not to be, in general, closed [13]. Additionally, the energy-momentum tensor was shown to satisfy the usual conservation equations

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu\,;\,\mu} = 0\,, \tag{19}$$

and thus, the Bianchi identities on the brane give from Eq. (16) differential equations among L^{μ}_{ν} :

$$L^{\mu}_{\nu;\,\mu} + \frac{L_{;\,\nu}}{2} = 0\,, \tag{20}$$

(semicolon means covariant differentiation with respect to $h_{\mu\nu}$).

For spherically symmetric braneworld metrics of the form (12), the system of Eqs. (16)-(20) was fully integrated in vacuum in [14], [15], and the results are as follows. For $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$ on the brane, the solution is Schwarzschild-$(A)dS_4$

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{r} - \sigma r^2\,, \tag{21}$$

where γ is integration constant and $\sigma = \kappa_4^2\lambda/3 + 2/r_c^2 - 2\sqrt{2\kappa_4^2\lambda - \Lambda_5 + 6/r_c^2}/\sqrt{6} r_c$. For $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}_{\nu} \neq 0$, there are two classes of solutions, given in parametric form. The first one (with constant $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}_{\nu}$) is

$$\begin{aligned} A \;=\; & 1 - \frac{\gamma}{r} - \sigma r^2 \\ + \;\; & sg(\zeta)\,\frac{\delta}{r}\Big[\,\frac{128}{105}\,{}_1F_1\Big(\frac{15}{8}, \frac{23}{8}; sg(\zeta) z\Big)\, z \\ & \qquad + \frac{9}{8}\Big(\frac{1}{z} - sg(\zeta)\frac{8}{7}\Big)\, e^{\,sg(\zeta)\, z}\,\Big]\, z^{\frac{7}{8}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$r = (\delta/\sqrt{|\zeta|})^{\frac{1}{3}}\, z^{\frac{1}{8}}\, e^{\,sg(\zeta)\, z/3}\,, \tag{23}$$

where $\delta > 0$, γ are integration constants, and $\sigma = \kappa_4^2\lambda/3 + 2/r_c^2$, $\zeta = 8(2\kappa_4^2\lambda - \Lambda_5 + 6/r_c^2)/9r_c^2$. The second solution (with non-constant $\mathcal{E}^\mu_\nu$) is

$$\begin{aligned} A \ &= \ 1 - \frac{\gamma}{r} - \sigma r^2 \qquad (24) \\ &\pm \ \frac{\delta}{r}\int |v-\sqrt{3}|^{-\frac{3(3-\sqrt{3})}{8}}\,(v+\sqrt{3})^{-\frac{3(3+\sqrt{3})}{8}}\,\frac{v\,dv}{|v-3|^{7/4}}\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$r = \Big(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{|\zeta|}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}\frac{|v-\sqrt{3}|^{\,(\sqrt{3}+1)/8}}{|v-3|^{1/4}\,|v+\sqrt{3}|^{\,(\sqrt{3}-1)/8}}\,, \quad (25)$$

where $\delta > 0$, γ are integration constants, and $\sigma = \kappa_4^2\lambda/3 + 2/r_c^2$, $\zeta = 9(2\kappa_4^2\lambda - \Lambda_5 + 6/r_c^2)/r_c^2$. [The ± sign of Eq. (24) is independent of that in Eq. (15)]. Note that the solutions (21)-(25) are the generic braneworld solutions and have been obtained without any assumption for the bulk space. It is now obvious that the only possible static exterior solution (15), surrounding the collapsing region, cannot take one of the permissible forms (21), (22), (24) of induced-gravity theory, which means that the no-go theorem for induced gravity has been proved.

We are going to give now another way of showing the no-go theorem of induced gravity, without using the above static solutions of the model. This is necessary in the case that such exact solutions are not known, as e.g. in the Gauss-Bonnet or in the combined Gauss-Bonnet and induced gravity braneworld. For the induced-gravity scenario, alternatively to Eqs. (16), generic covariant effective braneworld equations have been derived in [9] in the form (for the vacuum case)

$$\Big(1+\frac{\lambda}{6}r_c\kappa_5^2\Big)G^\mu_\nu = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\Lambda_5+\frac{\lambda^2}{6}\kappa_5^4\Big)\delta^\mu_\nu + r_c^2\pi^\mu_\nu - \mathcal{E}^\mu_\nu\,, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\pi^\mu_\nu = -\frac{1}{4}G^\mu_\lambda G^\lambda_\nu + \frac{1}{12}G^\lambda_\lambda G^\mu_\nu + \frac{1}{8}G^\lambda_\rho G^\rho_\lambda\delta^\mu_\nu - \frac{1}{24}(G^\lambda_\lambda)^2\delta^\mu_\nu.$$

It is obvious that by taking the trace of Eqs. (26), the electric components of the Weyl tensor, which from the braneworld viewpoint are not intrinsic quantities, disappear, and the resulting equation is

$$R^\mu_\nu R^\nu_\mu - \frac{1}{3}R^2 + \frac{4}{r_c^2}\Big(1+\frac{\lambda}{6}r_c\kappa_5^2\Big)R - \frac{8}{r_c^2}\Big(\Lambda_5+\frac{\lambda^2}{6}\kappa_5^4\Big) = 0\,. \quad (27)$$

We can now check by substituting the candidate solution (15) into Eq. (27) that this is not satisfied, which means that the interior solution cannot match to any static exterior.

Note that, while the Friedmann equation (13) was initially derived in [3] under the assumption of a particular bulk ansatz inducing, of course, the metric (6) on the brane, however, the derivation of this Friedmann equation was also given in [9] in a bulk-independent way, thus, showing the full generality of the cosmology (13).

B. Gauss-Bonnet term

The cosmology of the braneworld Gauss-Bonnet model ($r_c \to 0$) is given by the Friedmann equation [16, 17]

$$\Big(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\Big)^2 = -\frac{k}{a^2} + \frac{1}{8\alpha}\left(-2+\frac{64I^2}{J}+J\right), \quad (28)$$

where the dimensionless quantities I, J are given by

$$I = \frac{1}{8}\left[1+\frac{4}{3}\alpha\Lambda_5+\frac{8\alpha\mathcal{C}}{a^4}\right]^{1/2}, \quad (29)$$

$$J = \left[\frac{\kappa_5^2\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho+\lambda) + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_5^4\alpha}{2}(\rho+\lambda)^2+(8I)^3}\,\right]^{2/3}. \quad (30)$$

Similarly to the induced gravity case, we obtain

$$A(r) = 1 + \frac{r^2}{8\alpha}\Big[2 - \frac{64I(r)^2}{J(r)} - J(r)\Big]\,, \quad (31)$$

where

$$I(r) = \frac{1}{8}\left[1+\frac{4}{3}\alpha\Lambda_5+\frac{8\alpha q}{r^4}\right]^{1/2}, \quad (32)$$

$$J(r) = \left[\frac{\kappa_5^2\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{m}{r^3}+\lambda\Big) + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_5^4\alpha}{2}\Big(\frac{m}{r^3}+\lambda\Big)^2+(8I(r))^3}\,\right]^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (33)$$

The generic effective braneworld equations for the Gauss-Bonnet model have been derived in [18] in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{3}{2}(M_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{4}Mh_{\mu\nu} + \alpha[H^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}+H^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}+H^{(3)}_{\mu\nu}] \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha(10\Lambda_5-\alpha\mathrm{I})}{2(3+\alpha M)}\Big(M_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{4}Mh_{\mu\nu}\Big) = \frac{\Lambda_5}{4}h_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad (34) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} &= 2M_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}M_\nu{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} - 6M^{\rho\sigma}M_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} + 4MM_{\mu\nu} - 8M_{\mu\rho}M_\nu{}^\rho \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}h_{\mu\nu}(7M^2 - 24M_{\alpha\beta}M^{\alpha\beta} + 3M_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}M^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})\,, \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} &= -6(M_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{E}^\rho_\nu + M_{\nu\rho}\mathcal{E}^\rho_\mu + M_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}\mathcal{E}^{\rho\sigma}) \\ &\quad + \frac{9}{2}h_{\mu\nu}M_{\rho\sigma}\mathcal{E}^{\rho\sigma} + 3M\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} &= -4N_\mu N_\nu + 4N^\rho(N_{\rho\mu\nu}+N_{\rho\nu\mu}) + 2N_{\rho\sigma\mu}N^{\rho\sigma}{}_\nu \\ &\quad + 4N_{\mu\rho\sigma}N_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} + 3h_{\mu\nu}\Big(N_\alpha N^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}N_{\alpha\beta\gamma}N^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Big), \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I} &= M^2 - 8M_{\alpha\beta}M^{\alpha\beta} + M_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}M^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - 8N_\rho N^\rho \\ &\quad + 4N_{\rho\sigma\kappa}N^{\rho\sigma\kappa} - 12M_{\rho\sigma}\mathcal{E}^{\rho\sigma}\,. \quad (38) \end{aligned}$$

These equations contain the quantities $M_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, $N_{\mu\nu\rho}$, which are expressed in terms of the induced metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ and the extrinsic curvature $K_{\mu\nu}$ as follows

$$M_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - K_{\alpha\gamma}K_{\beta\delta} + K_{\alpha\delta}K_{\beta\gamma} \qquad (39)$$

$$M_{\alpha\beta} = h^{\gamma\delta}M_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} \quad , \quad M = h^{\alpha\beta}M_{\alpha\beta} \qquad (40)$$

$$N_{\mu\nu\rho} = K_{\nu\rho;\,\mu} - K_{\mu\rho;\,\nu} \quad , \quad N_{\mu} = h^{\rho\sigma}N_{\rho\mu\sigma} \, , \qquad (41)$$

where $K_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the matching conditions [19] (for the vacuum case)

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\mu}_{\nu} + \frac{2\alpha}{3}[9\mathrm{J}^{\mu}_{\nu} - 2\mathrm{J}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - 2(3P^{\mu\rho}{}_{\nu\sigma} + \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}G^{\rho}_{\sigma})K^{\sigma}_{\rho}] \\ = -\frac{\kappa_5^2\lambda}{6}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \, , \end{aligned} \qquad (42)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} 3\mathrm{J}^{\mu}_{\nu} &= 2KK^{\mu}_{\rho}K^{\rho}_{\nu} + K^{\rho}_{\sigma}K^{\sigma}_{\rho}K^{\mu}_{\nu} - 2K^{\mu}_{\rho}K^{\rho}_{\sigma}K^{\sigma}_{\nu} - K^2K^{\mu}_{\nu} \\ P^{\mu\rho}{}_{\nu\sigma} &= R^{\mu\rho}{}_{\nu\sigma} + 2\delta^{\mu}_{[\sigma}R^{\rho}_{\nu]} + 2\delta^{\rho}_{[\nu}R^{\mu}_{\sigma]} + R\delta^{\mu}_{[\nu}\delta^{\rho}_{\sigma]} \, , \end{aligned}$$

($\mathrm{J} = \mathrm{J}^{\mu}_{\mu}$). It is remarkable that despite the complexity of the above equations, by taking the trace of Eq. (34) the quantities $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ disappear (as well as the quantities $N_{\mu\nu\rho}$ containing covariant derivatives of $K_{\mu\nu}$ with respect to $h_{\mu\nu}$), and the resulting equation is purely four-dimensional

$$M + \alpha(M^2 - 4M_{\alpha\beta}M^{\alpha\beta} + M_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}M^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}) = 2\Lambda_5 \, . \qquad (43)$$

After solving the cubic system (42) for K^{μ}_{ν}, one has Eq. (43) constructed solely out of the induced metric $h_{\mu\nu}$.

In order to do so, it is convenient to write the metric (12) in a form where its angular part appears as a two-dimensional conformally Euclidean space

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{A(r)} + r^2\, f(x_1, x_2)\, (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2) \, , \qquad (44)$$

where $f(x_1, x_2) = [1 + (x_1^2 + x_2^2)/4]^{-2}$, and $x_1 = 2\tan(\theta/2)\sin\phi$, $x_2 = 2\tan(\theta/2)\cos\phi$. Due to the symmetry of the metric (44), the components of K^{μ}_{ν} in the coordinates (t, r, x_1, x_2) take the form

$$K^{\mu}_{\nu} = diag(K_1, K_2, K_3, K_3) \, . \qquad (45)$$

Subtracting, now, the tt-equation from the rr-equation of the system (42), we obtain the separable equation

$$[4\alpha r^2K_3^2 + 4\alpha(A-1) - r^2]\,(K_2 - K_1) = 0 \, , \qquad (46)$$

with solutions

$$K_3 = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{8\alpha}}\Big[\frac{64I(r)^2}{J(r)} + J(r)\Big]^{1/2} \, , \qquad (47)$$

or

$$K_2 = K_1 \, . \qquad (48)$$

For the solution (47), plugging back into the system (42), we obtain a system of two equations for K_1, K_2, from where the following equation arises

$$2\alpha A' - r \mp \sqrt{\alpha}\kappa_5^2\lambda r^2/2\sqrt{r^2 + 4\alpha(1-A)} = 0 \, , \qquad (49)$$

(prime means differentiation with respect to r). This equation is easily seen to be inconsistent with $A(r)$ given by Eq. (31). For the solution (48), plugging back into the system (42), the situation is more complicated, and a polynomial equation of fifth degree on K_1 arises:

$$K_3 = \frac{4(1 - 2\alpha r^{-1}A')K_1 + \kappa_5^2\lambda}{8\alpha K_1^2 + 2(2\alpha A'' - 1)} \, , \qquad (50)$$

$$a_5K_1^5 + a_4K_1^4 + a_3K_1^3 + a_2K_1^2 + a_1K_1 + a_0 = 0, \qquad (51)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_5 &= 2\alpha[r^2 + 4\alpha(1-A)] \quad , \quad a_4 = \alpha\kappa_5^2\lambda r^2 \\ a_3 &= (2\alpha A'' - 1)[r^2 + 4\alpha(1-A)] \\ a_2 &= \kappa_5^2\lambda r[\alpha A' + r(\alpha A'' - 1)] \\ 2a_1 &= 1 - (2\alpha A'' - 1)[4rA' + A(2\alpha A'' - 1) - 4\alpha A'^2] \\ &\quad + A''[r^2 - 4\alpha + \alpha(r^2 + 4\alpha)A''] - (3 + \alpha\kappa_5^4\lambda^2)r^2/4\alpha \\ a_0 &= \kappa_5^2\lambda r(2\alpha A'' - 1)(2\alpha rA'' + r - 4\alpha A')/16\alpha. \end{aligned} \qquad (52)$$

Supposed that equation (43) is valid, for the metric (44), and after substituting the values of K_2, K_3 from Eqs. (48), (50), it becomes a polynomial equation of sixth degree on K_1

$$b_6K_1^6 + b_4K_1^4 + b_3K_1^3 + b_2K_1^2 + b_0 = 0, \qquad (53)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_6 &= 32\alpha^2[r^2 + 4\alpha(1-A)] \\ b_4 &= 16\alpha[(2\alpha\Lambda_5 - 3)r^2 - 6\alpha - 12\alpha A'(\alpha A' - r) \\ &\qquad + 3\alpha(r^2 + 4\alpha)A'' - 6\alpha A(2\alpha A'' - 1)] \\ b_3 &= 32\alpha\kappa_5^2\lambda r(2\alpha A' - r) \\ \frac{b_2}{2} &= 12\alpha - (3 + 3\alpha\kappa_5^4\lambda^2 + 8\alpha\Lambda_5)r^2 - 12\alpha A(2\alpha A'' - 1)^2 \\ &\qquad + 4\alpha^2A''[4(\Lambda_5r^2 - 3) + 3(r^2 + 4\alpha)A''] \\ b_0 &= (2\alpha A'' - 1)\{2\alpha(r^2 + 4\alpha)A''^2 - A''[8\alpha + (1 - 4\alpha\Lambda_5)r^2] \\ &\qquad - 2(2\alpha A'' - 1)[A(2\alpha A'' - 1) + 2A'(\alpha A' - r)] \\ &\qquad + 2 - (2\Lambda_5 + \kappa_5^4\lambda^2/2)r^2\}. \end{aligned} \qquad (54)$$

Equations (51), (53) have to be satisfied simultaneously. After some algebraic manipulations, this system of equations is written equivalently as the following system

$$F_2K_1^2 + F_1K_1 + 1 = 0 \, , \qquad (55)$$

$$K_1 = \frac{C_2 - (C_1 - F_1)F_1 - F_2}{(C_1 - F_1)F_2 - C_3} \, , \qquad (56)$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
(C_1,C_2,C_3)=&\Big(B_2(B_1-p_1)+p_3-B_3,\, B_3(B_1-p_1)+p_4-B_4,\\
&B_4(B_1-p_1)+p_5\Big)/(B_1(B_1-p_1)+p_2-B_2),\\
(F_1\,,\,F_2)=&\Big((C_1C_2-C_3)(B_1-p_1)-C_2(B_2-p_2)+B_4-p_4,\\
&C_1C_3(B_1-p_1)-C_3(B_2-p_2)-p_5\Big)/\\
&\Big((C_1^2-C_2)(B_1-p_1)-C_1(B_2-p_2)+B_3-p_3\Big),\\
(B_1\,,\,B_2\,,\,B_3\,,\,B_4)=&(p_1p_2-p_3+q_3\,,\,p_1p_3-p_4+q_4\,,\\
&p_1p_4-p_5\,,\,p_1p_5+q_6)/(p_1^2-p_2+q_2),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the various p_i, q_i are related to a_i, b_i as

$$p_i=a_i/a_0 \quad , \quad q_i=b_i/b_0\,. \tag{57}$$

It is now straightforward to check that the value K_1 of Eq. (56) does not satisfy Eq. (55), which means that the no-go theorem of the Gauss-Bonnet braneworld has been proved.

C. Gauss-Bonnet and Induced gravity

The cosmology of the combined Gauss-Bonnet and induced gravity braneworld is given by the Friedmann equation [20]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big(\frac{\dot a}{a}\Big)^2 =& -\frac{k}{a^2}+\frac{4-3\beta}{12\beta\alpha}\\
&-\frac{2}{3\beta\alpha}\sqrt{P^2-6Q}\,\cos\Big(\Theta\pm\frac{\pi}{3}\Big)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{58}
$$

where the dimensionless quantities β,P,Q,Θ are given by

$$\beta = \frac{256\alpha}{9r_c^2}\,, \tag{59}$$

$$P = 1+3\beta I\,, \tag{60}$$

$$Q = \beta\left[\frac{1}{4}+I+\frac{\kappa_4^2\alpha}{3}(\rho+\lambda)\right], \tag{61}$$

$$\Theta(P,Q) = \frac{1}{3}\arccos\left[\frac{2P^3+27Q^2-18PQ}{2(P^2-6Q)^{3/2}}\right]. \tag{62}$$

The ± sign in Eq. (58) is the same as that in Eq. (13). The region in (P,Q)-space for which Eq. (58) is defined, is

$$1\le P<\frac{4}{3}\,, \tag{63}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2[\,9P-8-(4-3P)^{3/2}\,]&\le 27Q\\
&\le 3P[\,3-\sqrt{3(3-2P)}\,]\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{64}
$$

From the above equations, we can write the candidate black hole metric as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(r)=&1-\frac{4-3\beta}{12\beta\alpha}r^2\\
&+\frac{2r^2}{3\beta\alpha}\sqrt{P(r)^2-6Q(r)}\,\cos\Big(\Theta(r)\pm\frac{\pi}{3}\Big)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{65}
$$

where

$$P(r)=1+3\beta I(r)\,, \tag{66}$$

$$Q(r)=\beta\left[\frac{1}{4}+I(r)+\frac{\kappa_4^2\alpha}{3}\Big(\frac{m}{r^3}+\lambda\Big)\right], \tag{67}$$

$$\Theta(r)=\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left[\frac{2P(r)^3+27Q(r)^2-18P(r)Q(r)}{2(P(r)^2-6Q(r))^{3/2}}\right]. \tag{68}$$

Similarly to the induced gravity case, in the pure Gauss-Bonnet model, the Friedmann equation (28) was obtained in [18] in a bulk-independent way, showing, thus, that this is the most general Robertson-Walker braneworld cosmology of the model. For the combined Gauss-Bonnet and induced gravity braneworld scenario, its Friedmann equation (58) has not been derived yet in a bulk-independent way, but we have no reason to expect any discrepancy in this case too.

In the present case, Eqs. (34)-(41) – as well as Eq. (43) – of the pure Gauss-Bonnet case remain unchanged, since they are described by bulk information, while the matching condition (42) is now modified by setting its right hand side equal to

$$-\frac{\kappa_5^2}{6}\left[\lambda\delta^\mu_\nu-\frac{3r_c}{\kappa_5^2}\Big(R^\mu_\nu-\frac{R}{6}\delta^\mu_\nu\Big)\right]. \tag{69}$$

Following the same steps as before, we find the same separable equation (46), with solutions now

$$K_3=\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{3\beta\alpha}}\left[1-2\sqrt{P(r)^2-6Q(r)}\cos\Big(\Theta(r)\pm\frac{\pi}{3}\Big)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{70}$$

or

$$K_2=K_1\,. \tag{71}$$

Solution (70) leads to the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\alpha A'-r\mp\sqrt{\alpha}[\kappa_5^2\lambda r^2-r_c(1-A-rA')]/2\sqrt{r^2+4\alpha(1-A)}&\\
=0\,,&
\end{aligned}
\tag{72}
$$

which is inconsistent with Eq. (65). Solution (71) leads to two equations of fifth and sixth degree on K_1 of the form (51) and (53) respectively, with the corresponding coefficients defined now as follows (denoted with primes)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a'_5=a_5 \quad , \quad a'_4=a_4-\alpha r_c(1-A-rA') \quad , \quad a'_3=a_3\\
&a'_2=a_2+r_c[1+2\alpha A'^2+A(2\alpha A''-1)+rA'(3\alpha A''-2)\\
&\qquad\qquad -A''(2\alpha+r^2/2)]/2\\
&a'_1=a_1-r_c(2A'+rA'')[4\kappa_5^2\lambda r+r_c(2A'+rA'')]/32\\
&a'_0=a_0\\
&b'_6=b_6 \quad , \quad b'_4=b_4\\
&b'_3=b_3-16\alpha r_c(r-2\alpha A')(2A'+rA'')\\
&b'_2=b_2-3\alpha r_c(2A'+rA'')[4\kappa_5^2\lambda r+r_c(2A'+rA'')]/2\\
&b'_0=b_0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{73}
$$

Equations (55)-(57) remain the same for the above primed quantities a'_i, b'_i, and thus, their incompatibility can be easily checked, proving the no-go theorem of the combined Gauss-Bonnet and induced gravity braneworld.

Our analysis of all the above considered models is based on 4-dimensional solutions or 4-dimensional effective braneworld equations, and we have not studied the bulk extension of the considered braneworld regions (static exterior and Robertson-Walker interior). We know however, that for a given continuous boundary metric and extrinsic curvature, the propagation of the field equations in five dimensions is a well defined initial value problem, solvable in principle.

In conclusion, we have studied the Oppenheimer-Snyder-like collapse on braneworld models with curvature corrections. In all cases considered, using the four-dimensional effective equations, and without making assumptions about the bulk, we have found that the exterior vacuum spacetime on the brane is non-static. We have not found the exterior metric, thus, we are not in position to know if the gravitational collapse on the brane leaves at late times a signature in the exterior, or if, on the contrary, the non-static exterior is transient, tending to a static geometry.

Acknowlegements

We wish to thank R. Maartens for useful discussions. G.K. acknowledges partial support from FONDECYT grant 3020031 and from Empresas CMPC. Centro de Estudios Científicos is a Millennium Science Institute and is funded in part by grants from Fundacion Andes and the Tinker Foundation. E.P. is partially supported by the NTUA research program "Thalis".

---

[1] M. Bruni, C. Germani and R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 231302 [gr-qc/0108013].
[2] M. Govender and N. Dadhich, Phys. Lett. **B538** (2002) 233 [hep-th/0109086].
[3] C. Deffayet, Phys. Lett. **B502** (2001) 199 [hep-th/0010186].
[4] H. Collins and B. Holdom, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 105009 [hep-ph/0003173].
[5] Y. Shtanov, hep-th/0005193.
[6] N.J. Kim, H.W. Lee and Y.S. Myung, Phys. Lett. **B504** (2001) 323 [hep-th/0101091].
[7] E. Kiritsis, N. Tetradis and T.N. Tomaras, JHEP **03** (2002) 019 [hep-th/0202037].
[8] V. Sahni and Y. Shtanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **11** (2002) 1 [gr-qc/0205111]; U. Alam and V. Sahni, astro-ph/0209443.
[9] K. Maeda, S. Mizuno and T. Torii, Phys. Rev. **D68** (2003) 024033 [gr-qc/0303039].
[10] G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze and M. Porati, Phys. Lett. **B485** (2000) 208 [hep-th/0005016]; G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 065007 [hep-th/0008054].
[11] T. Shiromizu, K. Maeda and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 024012 [gr-qc/9910076].
[12] G. Kofinas, JHEP **0108** (2001) 034 [hep-th/0108013].
[13] R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 084023 [hep-th/0004166]; R. Maartens, gr-qc/0101059.
[14] G. Kofinas, E. Papantonopoulos and I. Pappa, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 104014 [hep-th/0112019].
[15] G. Kofinas, E. Papantonopoulos and V. Zamarias, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 104028 [hep-th/0208207].
[16] C. Charmousis and J. Dufaux, Class. Quantum Grav. **19** (2002) 4671 [hep-th/0202107]; C. Germani and C. Sopuerta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 231101 [hep-th/0202060].
[17] N. Deruelle and T. Dolezel, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 103502 [gr-qc/0004021]; I. Low and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. **B585** (2000) 395 [hep-th/0004124]; I.P. Neupane, JHEP **0009** (2000) 040 [hep-th/0008190]; B. Abdesselam and N. Mohammedi, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 084018 [hep-th/0110143]; Y.M. Cho and I.P. Neupane, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 024044 [hep-th/0202140]; J.E. Lidsey, S. Nojiri and S. Odintsov, JHEP **06** (2002) 026 [hep-th/0202198]; J.E. Lidsey and N.J. Nunes, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 103510 [astro-ph/0303168]; J.P. Gregory and A. Padilla, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 4221 [hep-th/0304250]; C. Barcelo, C. Germani and C.F. Sopuerta, Phys. Rev. **D68** (2003) 104007 [gr-qc/0306072]; C. Charmousis and J. Dufaux, hep-th/0311267.
[18] K. Maeda and T. Torii, Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 024002 [hep-th/0309152].
[19] S. Davis, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 024030 [hep-th/0208205]; E. Gravannis and S. Willison, Phys. Lett. **562B** (2003) 118 [hep-th/0209076]; N.E. Mavromatos and J. Rizos, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 124004 [hep-th/0008074]; Y.M. Cho, I.P. Neupane and P.S. Wesson, Nucl. Phys. **B621** (2002) 388 [hep-th/0104227]; S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, JHEP **07** (2000) 049 [hep-th/0006232].
[20] G. Kofinas, R. Maartens and E. Papantonopoulos, JHEP **10** (2003) 066 [hep-th/0307138].